# #...upon this rock 9 will build my church; and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it." ~Matthew 16:18



July 12, 2015

#### **Contact Us:**

3201 4th Street Brownwood, TX 76801

325-646-7102

www.4thstreetcoc.org office@4thstreetcoc.org

#### **Meeting Times:**

#### **Sunday:**

Bible Study	9:45 AM
Worship	10:40 AM
Care, Inc. Service	2:30 PM
Worship	6:00 PM

#### Wednesday:

Ladies Bible Class. 10:00 AM Bible Study......7:00 PM

#### **Elders:**

Gene Bannister...... Billy Chism

#### **Deacons:**

Mike Bannister...... Don Smith

James Thomas

#### **Preacher:**

Johnny McCaghren

#### **Works We Support:**

Cherokee Home for Children Gospel Broadcast Network Heart of Texas Bible Camp (Bangs) House to House, Heart to Heart **Mission Printing** Rohan Jones, Africa The Truth in Love

Let us know if you want to study the Bible to know more about Christ and His Church.

World Bible School

## A Biblical View of Marriage and Homosexuality

Christians should be able to define clearly what the Bible teaches about marriage and sexuality, particularly in a cultural climate that increasingly defends homosexuality. This is really about what the Bible teaches, so let's walk through this a little.

Before looking at the biblical case, we need to consider a foundational disjunction. Either sexual relations should not have any restrictions or they should have restrictions. If they should not have any restrictions, then literally anything should be allowed and acceptable. But no one reasonably argues that sexual relationships should have absolutely no restrictions at all, for such would open the door to all manner of perversions, including pedophilia, rape, incest, and polygamy. Yet, if sexual relations should have restrictions, then who has the right to make those restrictions? If those restrictions are to be made only by fallible people who happen to be in power, then such restrictions are cultural, preferential, capricious, and cannot be bound universally. This position, we maintain, cannot be held consistently and is not backed by any rational, ultimate authority. This leaves us with a greater, divine authority as the reasonable option. If the restrictions are divine, then they are universal and binding, based upon the authority of the one who created us and knows what is best. We contend that only God has the right to place restrictions upon sexual relationships. His instructions concerning this are found in Scripture, so what do we find there?

First, we find that the only situation in which sexual relations are approved by God is in marriage (Heb. 13:4). Therefore, any sexual activity with another person outside of marriage is either fornication or adultery. This necessarily includes homosexual practice. If any legitimization of homosexual practice is to be found, it must be found only within marriage. That does not therefore mean that God permits same-sex marriage. It only means that all sexual activity between two people will only be permitted within a legitimate marriage relationship.

Second, if one is concerned about marriage as defined in Scripture, then we must recognize that God is the only One who can say what is acceptable. If the Bible doesn't matter, then how we define or apply marriage wouldn't matter either, for then we are back to our own opinions about it all. The debate would be pointless, and we are forced to the "no restrictions on sexual relationships" position.

Third, if we are going to accept the Lordship of Jesus, then we will submit to His statements on the matter. If we are not going to accept the Lordship of Jesus, then we will do whatever we want. If Jesus is not Lord, then what He says about marriage wouldn't matter at all, and we could just go back to doing whatever we wanted. Again, the debate would be pointless, for we would only be arguing our preferences, and our positions would be untenable because they are not based on any ultimate authority.

But if Jesus is Lord, then we do not have the option of defining marriage our own way. What did He say? When asked about divorce, His response was, "Have you not read that He who made them at the beginning 'made them male and female,' and said, 'For this reason a man shall leave his father and mother and be joined to his wife, and the two shall become one flesh'? So then, they are no longer two but one flesh. Therefore what God has joined together, let not man separate." (Matt. 19:4

From the beginning, God created male and female, and said, "for this reason..." He created male and female specifically so that He could join them together as husband and wife. God is the one who joins male and female. Upon His joining them, they are not to be separated by man. Nowhere in Scripture is there any kind of indication whatsoever that God would ever join together male to male or female to female. Male and female were made "for this reason." God's definition of marriage is clear: male and female joined together for life. Homosexual marriage is illegitimate because it cannot fit within the specified boundaries established by God from the beginning and later sanctioned by Jesus Christ.

Paul's teaching further backs this point up. He taught marriage within the context of male and fe-

(Continued on page 2)



"But because of the temptation to sexual immorality, each man should have his own wife and each woman her own husband.... Do not deprive one another, except perhaps by agreement for a limited time, that you may devote yourselves to prayer; but then come together again, so that Satan may not tempt you because of your lack of self-control." 1 Cor. 7:2.5

(Continued from page 1)

male (1 Cor. 7) right after teaching that the body is not made for immorality (1 Cor. 6). Among those listed as not inheriting the kingdom (1 Cor. 6:9-10) are fornicators, adulterers, effeminate, and homosexuals. "Effeminate" refers to the passive male partner in a homosexual relationship. "Homosexuals" in this passage is a combination of arsen, male, and koite, bed, thus literally "male in a bed"; the term is used to indicate the one who takes the more active role in a homosexual relationship. There is no question but that Paul strictly condemns the practice of homosexuality here (cf. also Rom. 1:18ff). We should stress "practice" here. Those who argue that they are "born gay" (even if it could be proved) cannot show that they must act out their inclinations. That view would open up a can of worms no one wants to see or defend. What of those inclined toward children? What of those inclined toward hurting people? There is a difference between inclination and practice. The former can be brought under control so that the latter does not occur (see Jas. 3:14-16). Having an inclination does not grant us the right to do any and everything we are inclined to do. Self-control must be maintained.

What is the answer to the problem of immorality (1 Cor. 6)? Paul argues that marriage is the answer, and that such a marriage consists of male and female (1 Cor. 7). Any other relationship results in something that will cause a person to be lost.

Further, marriage was given for the context of having children (Gen. 1:28). This alone should help answer the question as to whether or not homosexuality is natural (and would even argue against the evolutionary notion here, which requires the ability to reproduce — if homosexuals cannot reproduce together, then how does this fit with Darwinism? I should think Darwinists would find themselves in quite a dilemma over how to explain homosexuality, for natural selection simply cannot explain it.). Obviously, not even every heterosexual couple can have children for one reason or another, but the action that leads to the children is natural, and children will only come from such action involving male and female. A male and female couple may try with reasonable expectation. Try as they may, the homosexual couple can never produce children of their own. This tells us that God's intention was for children to be born from a marriage of male and female. If sexual activity is acceptable only within marriage, and children must necessarily come from the fruit of sexual activity, then this is God's plan all along, and this is what is natural. We might just point out here that children who are born out of wedlock are not themselves illegitimate or less than human (it's not their fault). They are human lives that need to be nurtured and cherished no matter what the circumstances were that brought their lives about.

So, in light of the facts that 1) marriage is specifically defined as being between male and female, and that 2) homosexual practice is specifically listed right alongside fornication and adultery as a sin that will keep one out of heaven, the biblical conclusion is clear. Sexual relations are acceptable only within marriage, and marriage is accept-

able only when God joins together male and female. Anything else defiles the marriage bed (Heb. 13:4).

Does this position constitute hatred and bigotry? Only if people are going to define the terms marriage, hatred, and bigotry apart from Scripture and by personal preference can they argue such, but then they must defend either another position that has restrictions or a position with no restrictions. Just calling someone a "bigot" or "hateful" is pejorative and poisoning the well. It is argument by labeling instead of presenting legitimate argumentation, and it should be rejected as fallacious.

Believing that marriage is restricted to male and female who can be joined together by God is anything but bigoted or hateful. Every male and every female begins on the same playing field. No one is saying that a man cannot get married, even if his preference or inclination is toward another man. Getting married in itself is not what is restricted here. To whom one gets married is the issue, and unless one believes that anyone should be able to marry anyone under any circumstances, we will all agree that there should be restrictions.

Does the person who thinks that the biblical position is bigotry believe that anyone under any circumstances at any time should be able to get married? That would be surprising. Most who accept homosexual marriage would say that marriage to a child would be wrong. But why? Are they being bigoted, too? If they have rejected biblical standards, then what is the basis for rejecting the idea that an adult can marry a child? "Because the child is not a consenting adult" would be the only reasonable response. Okay, then they, too, restrict marriage, and "consenting adults" is their required definition. Why? What is the standard for saying that this is the only acceptable definition? Where does their authority for this view come from?

What about the man who wishes to marry many wives? If all the adults involved are consenting, then what is the problem? Most would restrict marriage in this case, too. Why can't people who are married get married again to someone else while still married to the first person? Why should we not have cross-marriages with each partner married multiple times in some interconnected web of matrimony? What does monogamy have to do with it? Why restrict marriage that way? Why do states have restrictions on marrying within the family? Why not allow brothers and sisters to marry each other? The point is that few would believe that marriage should be allowed between any two (or more) under any circumstances, even if all the parties involved truly love each other. No one really argues that sexual relationships should not be restricted in some way. There are restrictions, and recognizing restrictions does not equate to bigotry and hatred.

One of the more common objections given has to do with the fact that we are to love one another. Didn't Jesus say that we should love one another? Isn't denying marriage to same-sex couples contradictory to

(Continued on page 3)

#### **Television**

The Truth In Love (Robert Dodson)
Sunday @ 7:00am on KTAB
In Search of the Lord's Way (Phil Sanders)
Sunday @ 7:30am on KTAB
Life In The Light (Chris McCurley)
Sunday @ 10:30am on KTAB

#### Radio

<u>Preaching the Word</u> (Michael Light) Sunday @ 9:00am on KOXE 101.3

#### **Internet**

TheGospelRadioNetwork.org Gospel Broadcasting Network (Gbntv.org)

#### God's Plan for Redeeming Man

**Hear** Learn the saving message of Christ's sacrifice (1 Cor. 1:18; Rom. 10:17).

**Believe** Jesus is the Son of God (John 8:24)

**Confess** Jesus as your Lord, Lawgiver, and King (Rom. 10:9-10; Matt. 10:32-33)

**Repent** of your sinful conduct (Luke 13:3,5)

Complete your initial obedience to the gospel by being **baptized** for the forgiveness of your sins (Acts 2:38; 22:16)

**Live faithfully** (Rev. 2:10; 2 Tim. 4:7-8)

#### **Bible Reading Schedule**

July 12 Amos 6-9
July 13 2 Chr. 27; Isaiah 9-12
July 14 Micah 1-7
July 15 2 Chr. 28; 2 Kgs. 16-17
July 16 Isaiah 13-17
July 17 Isaiah 18-22
July 18 Isaiah 23-27

July 19 2 Kgs. 18:1-8; 2 Chr. 29-31; Ps. 48

"Be diligent to present yourself approved to God, a worker who does not need to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth."

(2 Timothy 2:15)



(Continued from page 2)

the command to love one another?

Basically, this is arguing that love should allow us to do pretty much whatever we want when it comes to marriage as long as we can call it "love." This also is arguing that "love" should be defined however we feel. We just decide this is what we want, call it love, and anyone who doesn't agree is hateful. If this is what Jesus meant by "love one another," then they might have a point. But "love one another" does not mean "marry one another," nor does it mean "enjoy sexual relations with one another." Jesus taught His disciples to love one another (John 13:34-35). We are to love our neighbors as ourselves (Matt. 22:39). We are to love our enemies (Matt. 5:44). Would they really mean to imply that we should be able to marry everyone that we love, even if that includes children, family, or multiple people? Why have any restrictions at all as long as we can say we are loving each other? Why restrict any activity to marriage at all as long as we love each other? Indeed, the argument would destroy marriage entirely, for love cannot be restricted to marriage. The man who cheats on his wife can justify it if he did it out of love, and his wife should be tolerant and accepting of that, for she might otherwise be a bigot for being intolerant. Everyone knows that this kind of argument cannot work. Everyone knows that restrictions are needed.

The question is who defines the restrictions. Once again, either we define them (society or individual), in which case they are essentially arbitrary and based upon preference, or God defines them and we choose to submit to His will. If there are no divine restrictions, then neither fornication nor adultery can be considered sinful, for marriage is just a matter of cultural convention with no ultimate meaning. If there are restrictions, then following these restrictions cannot be considered bigoted or hateful, for they are given by God for our provision and protection. In this case, the loving thing to do is to teach God's will, for souls do hang in the balance.

We are to love one another. Christians should love all people regardless of background, lifestyle, race, gender, sexual orientation, or anything else that might distinguish a group. Christians should also love drunks, rapists, and murderers. Christians are to love all those who are lost in sin enough to teach the Gospel to them. Since we, as Christians, recognize that we, too, are guilty of sin against God, we wish to spread God's grace around to all. We are not to discriminate when it comes to the Gospel. We believe in the power of the Gospel to change lives. After Paul had listed those sins, which included homosexuality, he said, "Such were some of you; but you were washed, but you were sanctified, but you were justified in the name of the Lord Jesus Christ and in the Spirit of our God" (1 Cor. 6:11). We need to trust the power of God to change all of us.

So if we are going to accept that only God has the right to restrict sexual relationships, then the conclusion is quite clear. If we are not going to accept God as that authority, then there is no ultimate authority or reason why there should be any restrictions at all, unless we are simply going to argue that the whims of those in power should control everything. I will opt for divine authority.

Doy Moyer (lavistachurchofchrist.org)

# Celebrate "Rejoice with those who rejoice..." [Romans 12:15]

**July Birthdays:** Alphene Gressett (4), Jason Warman (6), Laura Huckaby (6), Chase Churchwell (11), **Cindy Fuller (12)**, John Barnum (26), Jack Hill (27).

July Anniversaries: Allen & Karen Bland (27).



June 28, 2015	July 5, 2015
51	47
61	65
35	50
39	29
\$1,928	\$2,148
	2015 51 61 35 39

	Next Week: 7/12/15		Next Weel	k: 7/19/15		July 2015		
Sunday AM:	Song Leader: James Fuller		Song Leader: Mike Bannister		Make Announcements:			
Greeters	Mileen & Ashley Kahlich	1	Lisa Greer & J	Lisa Greer & Jessica Stultz		Don Smith  Prepare Communion:  Ken & Jo Maninger		
Opening Prayer	Don Smith		Gene Bannister					
Communion	Ken Maninger*, Chase Church Hank Wheeler, John Barnu		Allen Griffith <sup>3</sup> Tom Wilcox, R	·	Johnr	Clean Building: nny & Cindy McCaghren Keep Grounds:		
Closing Prayer	Randy Huckaby		James Thomas			James Fuller  August 2015		
<b>Attendance Cards</b>	Jaceton Evetts		Chase Churchwell					
Sunday PM:	Song Leader: James Fulle	r	Song Leader: Joe Dennis		Ma	<b>Iake Announcements:</b>		
Opening Prayer	Gene Bannister		James Fuller		Pr	Joe Dennis repare Communion: ndy & Laura Huckaby Clean Building:		
Scripture Reading	Tom Wilcox		Hank W	neeler Randy				
Communion	Ken Maninger		Allen Griffith		Dennis Family <b>Keep Grounds:</b>			
Closing Prayer	Allen Griffith		Billy Chism			Tom Wilcox		
Wednesday Devotionals:	July 15 Joe Dennis		July 22 Allen Griffith	July 29 August 5 Tom Wilcox Singing Nigh		August 5 Singing Night		

## Search the Scriptures

Be like the Bereans who "received the word with all readiness of mind, and searched the scriptures daily, whether those things were so." (Acts 17:11)

### Studies for the week of July 12, 2015

#### Is The Practice Of Homosexuality A Sin???

What we think or feel about it is not what is important. As Christians, we submit to God and His word. Thus, all that really matters is what God says about it.

- In Scripture, homosexuality is not an acceptable practice. It is sin.
  - A. Leviticus 18:22,24
  - B. Leviticus 20:13 The penalty.
  - C. Homosexuality was not an acceptable practice among God's people

     nor among those who were not His people.
  - D. Romans 1:26,27
    - They exchanged what is natural, for that which is unnatural.
    - 2. These passions are described as vile and shameful.
    - Jude 7 as Sodom and Gomorrah...in a similar manner to these, having given themselves over to sexual immorality and gone after strange flesh.
  - E. 1 Timothy 8-11
    - A list of things lawless, insubordinate, ungodly, unholy, profane, and contrary to sound doctrine.
    - Sodomites are among that list of sins as is fornicators.
  - F, 1 Corinthians 6:9,10
    - 1. Homosexuals malakos effeminate, soft, a catamite (passive).
    - 2. Sodomites arsenokoites male cohabitation (active, masculine).
  - G. 1 Thessalonians 4:3-5 sexual immorality includes homosexuality.
- II. Why does God condemn homosexuality?
  - A. It is against the natural order that God created (Gen. 2:21-25).
  - B. It is against God's law of procreation (Mal. 2:14,15; Gen. 1:27,28).
- III. Is homosexuality a worse sin than others? No. All sin is an abomination. And we have all sinned (Rom. 3:23).
- IV.Am I a bigot and a hate monger if I teach the truth about homosexuality?
  - A. Maybe so if done with the wrong attitude.
  - B. Not if done to "seek and to save the lost" because I love their soul (2 Tim. 2:24-26).
  - C. All temptation is real but must not be given in to (1 Cor. 10:13).
- 1 Cor 6:11 And such were some of you. But you were washed, but you were sanctified, but you were justified in the name of the Lord Jesus and by the Spirit of our God.

What sins (abominations) do you have in your life from which you need to be washed, sanctified, justified in the name of the Lord Jesus and by the Spirit of our God?

#### "The truth will set you free" - John 8:31-38

<sup>31</sup> Jews who had believed him. Contrast to John's normal use of "Jews," Abide in my word – cf, 15:7 1 Jn, 3:9.

My word = truth = the teachings of Jesus - cf. 3:34; 7:16; 8:26; 12:48,49.

You will know the truth. When one abides in the words of Jesus (31).

We are offspring of Abraham...never been enslaved to anyone. Not true.

<sup>14</sup>Sin makes men slaves. Sin = those things which contradict the truth, light.

<sup>36</sup>Freedom from sin, ignorance, etc. – true freedom. The Son sets you free.
<sup>37</sup>My word finds no place in you. Does the word of Jesus find a place in us?

<sup>38</sup>I am bringing you word from heaven, from the supernatural, but you do the things of "your" father – that is, Satan.

#### Your father the devil - 8:39-47

<sup>39</sup>Abraham is our father. To be a son of = to partake of the same nature. The works Abraham did - cf. Heb. 11:8. Abraham's works were by faith.

Abraham did not seek to kill those who told the truth to him.

41 You are doing the works your father did.

<sup>12</sup>If God were your Father, you would love me.

<sup>43</sup>You cannot bear to hear my words. They do not have "spiritual ears."

<sup>42</sup>You are of your father the devil,...your will is to do your father's desires. He was a murderer. Why bring up this point? The Jews sought to kill Him.
<sup>45</sup>I tell the truth. Cf. 18:37.

Which one of you convicts me of sin?

Why do you not believe me? They do not want to believe him (44).

47Whoever is of God hears the words of God.... You are not of God.

Many of the Greek readers, as well as the Jews, thought themselves to be children of God. They must accept Jesus to be children of God.

#### "Before Abraham was, I am" - 8:48-59

<sup>41</sup>A Samaritan and have a demon. Statements made in defense of unbelief.
<sup>49</sup>I honor my Father, and you dishonor me. Jews and Muslims claim to worship God. They do not because they do not honor the Son of God.

<sup>50</sup>I do not seek my own glory; there is One who seeks it. God seeks the glory of His son.

- If anyone keeps my word, he will never see death. Jesus is talking spiritual; they are thinking physical.
- <sup>52</sup> Abraham died... <sup>53</sup> Are you greater than our father Abraham, who died?
  <sup>54</sup> It is my Father who glorifies me, of whom you say, "He is our God."

\*\*Have you seen Abraham? \*\*Before Abraham was, I am

<sup>59</sup>They picked up stones to throw at him, ...Jesus...went out of the temple.